arXiv:1510.05360v2 [math.CO] 21 Oct 2015

The k-independent graph of a graph

Saeid Alikhani[1] and Davood Fatehi

Department of Mathematics, Yazd University
89195-741, Yazd, Iran

ABSTRACT

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph. A set $I \subseteq V$ is an independent set, if no two of its members are adjacent in G. The k-independent graph of G, $I_k(G)$, is defined to be the graph whose vertices correspond to the independent sets of G that have cardinality at most k. Two vertices in $I_k(G)$ are adjacent if and only if the corresponding independent sets of G differ by either adding or deleting a single vertex. In this paper, we obtain some properties of $I_k(G)$ and compute it for some graphs.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C60, 05C69.
Keywords: Independence number; k-independent graph; Reconfiguration.

1 Introduction

Given a simple graph $G = (V, E)$, a set $I \subseteq V$ is an independent set of G, if there is no edge of G between any two vertices of I. A maximal independent set is an independent set that is not a proper subset of any other independent set. A maximum independent set is an independent set of greatest cardinality for G. This cardinality is called independence number of G, and is denoted by $\alpha(G)$.

Reconfiguration problems have been studied often in recent years. These arise in settings where the goal is to transform feasible solutions to a problem in a step-by-step manner, while maintaining a feasible solution throughout.

For the study of dominating set reconfiguration problem: given two dominating sets S and T of a graph G, both of size at most k, is it possible to transform S into T by adding and removing vertices one-by-one, while maintaining a dominating set of size at most k throughout?

[1]Corresponding author. E-mail: alikhani@yazd.ac.ir

Regarding to this dominating set reconfiguration problem, recently the k-dominating graph of a graph G has defined in [10]. The k-dominating graph of G, $D_k(G)$, is defined to be the graph whose vertices correspond to the dominating sets of G that have cardinality at most k. Two vertices in $D_k(G)$ are adjacent if and only if the corresponding dominating sets of G differ by either adding or deleting a single vertex. Authors in [10], gave conditions that ensure $D_k(G)$ is connected. Also authors in [1] studied this graph, for certain graphs.

One of the most well-studied problem in reconfiguration problems, is the reconfiguration of independent sets. For a graph G and integer k, the independent sets of size at least/exactly k of G form the feasible solutions. Independent sets are also called token configurations, where the independent set vertices are viewed as tokens [4]. Deciding for existence of a reconfiguration between two k-independent sets with at most ℓ operations is strongly NP-complete ([11]).

Bonamy and Bousquet in [3] have considered the k-TAR reconfiguration graph, $TAR_k(G)$, as follows:

A k-independent set of G is a set $S \subseteq V$ with $|S| \geq k$, such that no two elements of S are adjacent. Two k-independent sets I and J are adjacent if they differ on exactly one vertex. This model is called the Token Addition and Removal (TAR). Authors in [3] provided a cubic-time algorithm to decide whether $TAR_k(G)$ is connected when G is a graph which does not contain induced paths of length 4. Their work solves an open question in [4]. Also they described a linear-time algorithm which decides whether two elements of $TAR_k(G)$ are in the same connected component.

Let to rewrite the definition of the reconfiguration graph $TAR_k(G)$, as follows:

For a graph G and a non-negative integer k, the k-independent graph of G, $I_k(G)$, is defined to be the graph whose vertices correspond to the independent sets of G that have cardinality at most k. Two vertices in $I_k(G)$ are adjacent if and only if the corresponding independent sets of G differ by either adding or deleting a single vertex.

As an example, Figure 1 shows $I_3(K_{1,3})$.

Note that k-dominating and k-independent graph are similar to recent work in graph colouring,



Figure 1: $I_3(K_{1,3})$.

too. Given a graph H and a positive integer k, the k-colouring graph of H, denoted $G_k(H)$, has vertices corresponding to the (proper) k-vertex-colourings of H. Two vertices in $G_k(H)$ are adjacent if and only if the corresponding vertex colourings of G differ on precisely one vertex. Authors in [6, 7, 8, 9] studied the connectedness of k-colouring graphs. Also they studied their hamiltonicity.

The following theorem, gives some properties of the k-independent graph of a graph:

Theorem 1.

(i) If G is a graph of order n, then $I_1(G) \cong K_{1,n}$.

(ii) For every graph G and every natural $k \leq \alpha(G)$, the independent graph $I_k(G)$ is connected.

(iii) For every graph G, the independent graph $I_k(G)$ is a bipartite graph.

(iv) If $G \ncong \overline{K_n}$, then $I_k(G)$ is not a regular graph.

(v) If $G \ncong \overline{K_n}$ then $I_k(G)$ is not a vertex-transitive graph, and so is not a Cayley graph.

Proof.

(i) It follows from the definition.

(ii) Let I_1 and I_2 be two independent sets of G (or two vertices of $I_k(G)$). By removing each member of I_1, we have an independent set of G which is a vertex of $I_k(G)$ and this vertex is adjacent to I_1. By removing these vertices, we obtain the empty set. Similarly, we can find a path from I_2 to the empty set. Therefore there exists a path between I_1 and I_2 and therefore we have the result.

(iii) Let X be the set of independent sets of size less than $k+1$ of G with odd cardinality and Y be the set of independent sets of size less than $k+1$ with even cardinality. It is clear that $X \cup Y = V(I_k(G))$ and $X \cap Y = \phi$. Suppose that $A, B \in X$, then $(A \backslash B) \cup (B \backslash A)$ cannot be a vertex of $I_k(G)$. Because $|A| = |B|$ or $\big||A| - |B|\big| \geq 2$. So AB is not an edge of $I_k(G)$ and with similar argument we have this for two vertices in Y. Therefore $I_k(G)$ is a bipartite graph with parts X and Y.

(iv) Let G be a graph of order n. The empty set is an independent set of G which has degree n in $I_k(G)$. Let I_1 be an independent set of G with $|I_1| = \alpha(G)$. We know that I_1 is adjacent to α independent sets. Since $G \ncong \overline{K_n}$, we have $\alpha(G) \neq n$. Therefore $I_k(G)$ is not a regular graph.

(v) It follows from Part (iv). □

It is obvious that, for every graph G and every k, the maximum degree of $I_k(G)$ is $\Delta(I_k(G)) = |V(G)|$.

Theorem 2.

(i) Let G be a graph of order n. There is no integer k, such that $I_k(G) \cong G$.

(ii) If $G \ncong K_n$, then the girth of $I_k(G)$ is 4.

(iii) Let $G \neq K_n$ be a graph. Then for all integers $k \geq 2$, $I_k(G)$ is not a tree.

Proof.

(i) Since for every integer number $k \geq 1$, $|V(I_k(G))| \geq n+1$, so we have the result.

(ii) Let v_1 and v_2 be two non-adjacent vertices of graph G. So $\{v_1\}$ and $\{v_2\}$ are two independent sets of G and therefore two vertices of $I_k(G)$. Now $\emptyset$, $\{v_1\}$, $\{v_1, v_2\}$, $\{v_2\}$, $\emptyset$ is a cycle in $I_k(G)$ and this is the shortest cycle in $I_k(G)$. Therefore the girth of $I_k(G)$ is 4.

(iii) It follows from Part (ii). □

2 α-independent graph of some graphs

Let G be a simple graph with independence number α. Looks that in the among of k-independent graph of G, the α-independent graph of G is more important. In this section, we study the α-independent graph of some graphs. To study the α-independent graph of G, we are interested to know the order of $I_\alpha(G)$.

Let i_k be the number of independent sets of cardinality k in G. The polynomial

$$I(G, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha(G)} i_k x^k,$$

is called the independence polynomial of G. Obviously $I(G, 1)$ gives the number of all independent sets of a graph G. In other words, $|V(I_\alpha(G))| = I(G, 1)$.

Since $I(K_n, x) = 1 + nx$, we have $I(K_n, 1) = n + 1$. Therefore we have the following easy result:

Theorem 3. *For any integer $k > 1$, there is some connected graph G such that $|V(I_\alpha(G))| = k$.*

The following theorem is about the α-independent graph of stars:

Theorem 4.

(i) The n-independent graph $I_n(K_{1,n})$ is a bipartite graph with parts X and Y, with $|X| = 2^{n-1}$ and $|Y| = 2^{n-1} + 1$.

(ii) The n-independent graph $I_n(K_{1,n})$ is not Hamiltonian.

Proof.

(i) Let X be the set of independent sets of $K_{1,n}$ with even cardinality and Y be the set of independent sets of odd cardinality. By Theorem 1(iii), $I_n(K_{1,n})$ is a bipartite graph with parts X and Y. Obviously $|X| = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{2k}$ and since the number of independent sets of $K_{1,n}$ is $I(K_{1,n}, 1) = 2^n + 1$, we have $|Y| = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{2k-1}$. Therefore we have the result.

(ii) Since a bipartite graph with different number of vertices in its parts is not a Hamiltonian graph, so the n-independent graph $I_n(K_{1,n})$ is not a Hamiltonian graph. □

Here we consider the α-independent of some another graphs. Figure 2 shows the $I_2(P_3)$.



Figure 2: $I_2(P_3)$.

Theorem 5. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta(I_\alpha(P_n)) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.*

Proof. The minimum degree of vertices of $I_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}(P_n)$ is due to maximal independent sets of P_n with minimum cardinality. These vertices are adjacent to $n - \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ of independent sets with less cardinality. □

Here we shall obtain information on the Hamiltonicity of α-independent of some specific graphs. Using the value of the independence polynomial at -1, we have $I(G;-1) = i_0 - i_1 + i_2 - \ldots + (-1)^{\alpha} i_{\alpha} = f_0(G) - f_1(G)$, where $f_0(G) = i_0 + i_2 + i_4 + \ldots$, $f_1(G) = i_1 + i_3 + i_5 + \ldots$ are equal to the numbers of independent sets of even size and odd size of G, respectively. $I(G,-1)$ is known as the alternating number of independent sets. We need the following theorem:

Theorem 6.[12] *For $n \geq 1$, the following hold:*

(i) $I(P_{3n-2};-1) = 0$ *and* $I(P_{3n-1};-1) = I(P_{3n};-1) = (-1)^n$*;*

(ii) $I(C_{3n};-1) = 2(-1)^n, I(C_{3n+1};-1) = (-1)^n$ *and* $I(C_{3n+2};-1) = (-1)^{n+1}$*;*

(iii) $I(W_{3n+1};-1) = 2(-1)^n - 1$ *and* $I(W_{3n};-1) = I(W_{3n+2};-1) = (-1)^n - 1$.

Corollary 1. *For all positive integer n, the graphs $I_{\alpha}(P_{3n-1})$, $I_{\alpha}(P_{3n})$, $I_{\alpha}(C_n)$ and $I_{\alpha}(W_n)$ are not Hamiltonian.*

Proof. We know that $I_{\alpha}(P_n)$, $I_{\alpha}(C_n)$ and $I_{\alpha}(W_n)$ are bipartite graphs with parts containing the independent sets of even and odd cardinality. By Theorem 6, theses bipartite graphs have parts with different cardinality. Therefore we have the result. □

3 Connectedness of k-independent graph

As we have seen in the Section 2, since the empty set is an independent set of any graph, then the k-independent graph $I_k(G)$ is a connected graph. Let us to do not consider empty set in the study of k-independent graph.

Suppose that $\mathcal{I}$ is a family of all independent sets of graph G. If we put $V(I_k(G)) = \mathcal{I} \setminus \emptyset$, then we denote the k-independent graph of G, by $I_k^*(G)$. Note that in this case, for some k and G, $I_k^*(G)$ is disconnected and for some k and G is connected.



Figure 3: $I_3^*(K_{1,3})$ and $I_2^*(C_4)$, respectively.

For example, the Figure 3 shows $I_3^*(K_{1,3})$ and $I_2^*(C_4)$, which are disconnected graphs with two components. Also Figure 4 shows $I_3^*(P_5)$ and $I_2^*(W_5)$, respectively. Observe that $I_3^*(P_5)$ is connected and $I_2^*(W_5)$ is disconnected with three components.

Theorem 2 implies that for any graph $G \neq K_n$, and for all integers $k \geq 2$, $I_k(G)$ is not a tree, but as we see in the Figure 4, the graph $I_k^*(G)$ can be a forest. This naturally raises the question: For which graph G, the component of $I_k^*(G)$ is a forest? What is the number of components?

The following theorem is a sufficient condition for disconnectedness of $I_\alpha^*(G)$.

Theorem 7. *If a graph G of order n has a vertex of degree $n-1$, then $I_\alpha^*(G)$ is disconnected.*

Proof. Let v be a vertex of degree $n-1$. Obviously $\{v\}$ is a non-empty independent set of G, and so is an isolated vertex of $I_\alpha^*(G)$. □

Note that the converse of Theorem 7 is not true. For example $I_2^*(C_4)$ has two components, but C_4 is 2-regular (Figure 3).

We end this paper with the following theorem:



Figure 4: $I_3^*(P_5)$ and $I_2^*(W_5)$, respectively.

Theorem 8. *Let $K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_m}$ be a complete m-partite graph, then $I_\alpha^*(K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_m})$ has m components.*

Proof. Let X_1 and X_2 be two arbitrary parts of $K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_m}$. Suppose that I_1 contains all nonempty subsets of part X_1 and I_2 contains all nonempty sets of part X_2. Obviously, each member of I_1 and each member of I_2 are independent sets of $K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_m}$ and so they are vertices of $I_\alpha^*(K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_m})$. No member of I_1 is adjacent to a member of I_2 in $I_\alpha^*(K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_m})$. So $I_\alpha^*(K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_m})$ is a disconnected graph. Since the members of I_1 (and the members of I_2) form a connected graph, therefore we have m components. □

References

[1] S. Alikhani and D. Fatehi, On the $n-$dominating graph of specific graphs, Arxiv preprint, available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1410.1169`.

[2] S. Alikhani and Y. H. Peng, Independence roots and independence fractals of certain graphs, *J.Appl.Math.Comput.*, 36 (2011), pp. 89-100.

[3] M. Bonamy and N. Bousquet, Reconfiguring independent sets in cographs, Arxiv preprint, available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.1433`.

[4] P. Bonsma, Independent set reconfiguration in cographs, Arxiv preprint, available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1402.1587v1`.

[5] J. I. Brown, K. Dilcher and R. J. Nowakowski, Roots of independence polynomials of well covered graphs, *J.Algebraic Combin.*, 11 (2000) 197–210.

[6] L. Cereceda, J. van den Heuvel, M. Johnson, Connectedness of the graph of vertex-colourings. *Discrete Math.* 308, (2009) 913-919.

[7] L. Cereceda, J. van den Heuvel, M. Johnson, Finding paths between 3-colorings. *J. Graph Theory* 67, (2011) 69-82.

[8] K. Choo, G. MacGillivray, Gray code numbers for graphs, *Ars Math. Contemp.* 4, (2011) 125-139.

[9] R. Haas, The canonical coloring graph of trees and cycles, *Ars Math. Contemp.* 5 (2012), 149-157.

[10] R. Haas, K. Seyffarth, The k-dominating graph, *Graphs Combin.*, 30 (2014) 609-617.

[11] M. Kamiński, P. Medvedev, and M. Milanič, Complexity of independent set reconfigurability problems, *Theoretical Computer Science*, 439(0) (2012) 9-15.

[12] V.E. Levit and E. Mandrescu, The independence polynomial of a graph at -1, Arxiv preprint, available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/0904.4819`.